1 2 3	MARK REICHEL SBN 155034 ATTORNEY AT LAW 455 Capitol Mall 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 498-9258	
4 5	Attorney for Defendant GONZALES	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7 8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9 10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  v.	CASE NO. 22-CR-0140 WBS STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
12 13	FRANCISCO GONZALES,  Defendants.	DATE: November 6, 2023 TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb
14   15	This case was set for status on October 2, 2022.	
16	This Court should consider the following case-specific facts in finding excludable delay	
17	appropriate in this particular case under the ends-of-justice exception, § 3161(h)(7) (Local Code T4).	
18	STIPULATION	
19	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendants, by and	
20	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
21	1. By this stipulation, defendants now move to set a status conference on November 6, 2023	
22	at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between October 2, 2023, and November 6, 2022 under 18 U.S.C.	
23	§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].	
24	2. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
26 27 28	includes voluminous pages of discovery and evidence. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.	

## Case 2:22-cr-00140-WBS Document 51 Filed 09/28/23 Page 2 of 3

- b) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to go through the voluminous discovery. Counsel requests additional time to discuss discovery with his client; counsel will be in a state trial, People v Kevin Davis, starting September 29, 2023 and it will last 2 weeks.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government agrees to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 2, 2023 to November 6, 2023 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 3. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: September 27, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

4 Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: September 27, 2023 /s/ MARK J. REICHEL

## Case 2:22-cr-00140-WBS Document 51 Filed 09/28/23 Page 3 of 3

MARK J. REICHEL Counsel for Defendant FRANCISCO GONZALES FINDINGS AND ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED. Dated: September 27, 2023 WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE